EXHIBIT "R"

1 Is that correct, Mr. Coffey? THE COURT: 2 MR. COFFEY: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Members of the jury, that 4 fact has been so stipulated by the parties in this case. 5 The People may call their next witness now. 6 MS. EGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. The People 7 call Dr. John Waldman. 8 JOHN WALDMAN, after first having been duly sworn by the Clerk 9 of the Court, was examined and testified as follows: 10 THE CLERK: The sworn witness is John B. 11 Waldman, W-A-L-D-M-A-N. 12 THE COURT: You may proceed, Ms. Egan. 13 MS. EGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. EGAN: 16 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Waldman. 17 Α. Good afternoon. 18 Q. Would you introduce yourself to the jurors? 19 Α. I'm Dr. John B. Waldman, retired pediatric 20 neurosurgeon. 21 Q. When did you retire? 22 Α. Two years ago, almost two years ago. 23 Q. Congratulations. 24 Α. Thank you. 25 Q. How long did you practice pediatric neurosurgery?

459

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
.18
19
20
21
22
23
24

- A. Over 30 years. I began at Albany Med and stayed there my whole career. I began in 1979.
- Q. So, you were practicing at Albany Med at the time of your retirement?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what was your position at Albany Medical Center?
- A. I was -- well, I was a professor of surgery, Division of Neurosurgery, and I was an attending neurosurgeon on the full-time faculty.
 - Q. And what were your duties as a professor?
- A. As a professor, teaching, taught medical students, both in the ward and in the classroom; and Albany Med also has a neurosurgical training program, so it involved teaching residents, as well, and full-time practice.
 - Q. And what were your duties as a treating physician?
- A. Well, for most of the time, I took care of predominately young children and took care of children with neurological problems that were possibly in need of surgery.

 It isn't always surgery, but medical management of patients, as well.
- Q. Now, could you tell the jury about your formal education?
- A. I graduated from Yale University. I just returned for my 45th reunion. And then I went to Albany Medical College and got an M.D. degree in 1973. After that, I entered the

residency training in neurosurgery. That required, at the time, five years of neurosurgery, one year of general surgery. And after that, I spent some time in a pediatric nursery fellowship or fellowships. I did some time at the Children's Hospital Medical Center in Boston and some time at The Hospital For Sick Children in Toronto; and after, that I returned and was on the full-time faculty at Albany Med.

- Q. And were you board certified?
- A. Well, board certification requires completing an approved residency, which I did, passing a written examination, which I did during my residency, and then you have to wait a period of time and submit practice data that gets reviewed. You then have to be accepted for sitting for an oral examination, and I did that. I finished my residency in 1979. I think the first opportunity I had to sit for the Boards was either in 1981 or '82. I don't remember, but I passed my Boards at that time. At that point in time, there was no Board certification in pediatric neurosurgery, but subsequently, much later I think in the early 90's maybe there was -- American Board of Pediatric Neurosurgery was formed, and I also passed that entrance, also. So, I'm Board certified in neurosurgery and pediatric neurosurgery.
- Q. Did you have to recertify periodically for those Boards?
 - A. General neurosurgery, no. At the time, they were for

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

life. Currently, you have to recertify. Pediatric neurosurgery, you have to recertify, and I did just before I retired. I think I had to take a written Board examination, which I passed. So, I was recertified approximately two years ago.

- Q. And did you maintain that certification throughout your years of practice?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In what states were you licensed to practice medicine in?
 - A. New York State.
- Q. And have you written any books or professional journal articles?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you estimate how many?
 - A. No. I don't know.
 - Q. Is it more than ten?
 - A. More than ten, fewer than 50, I would guess.
 - Q. What types of articles have you written?
- A. All types. Early on, some of it had to do with science research; then later, mostly case reports. I was involved in writing a chapter for a book for general practitioners on neurosurgical issues. My chapter was, of course, on pediatric neurosurgical issues that a pediatrician or family practice doctor might see. I guess that's most of

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24 25

it.

- Now, let's talk about September of 2008. Were you Ο. employed at Albany Medical Center at that time?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And did you come to know someone by the name of Ο.

Yes.

Α.

Q.

- How did you know
- Well, I don't have any direct recollection, but from review of the medical records, he was admitted to the hospital and a neurosurgical consultation was requested. I probably was not on call that night, so one of my partners saw then I believe the next day, I saw him; and the day following, I saw him in the hospital.
- Q. Do you recall the date you first began your neurosurgery consult with
 - Α. That I personally did?
 - Q. Yes.
- I believe it was either -- probably the 22nd of Α. September, and then I saw him on the 23rd.
 - Q. Now, what is a consultation?
- Α. Well, typically, when someone is admitted to the hospital, there's a primary physician of one specialty or another who has the primary responsibility for management of a patient. A patient like , who is admitted to the

Pediatric Intensive Care Unit -- I apologize. I thought I 1 2 turned my phone off. 3 THE COURT: That's okay. 4 Α. The question, again, was? 5 What is a consultation? Q. 6 Α. So, in this case, it would have been a Pediatric 7 Intensive Care Unit doctor. That's a pediatrician who has done 8 a fellowship in intensive care medicine, pediatric medicine. 9 And that person is primarily in charge of the overall care of 10 the patient; but as I'm sure everybody knows, medicine is very 11 specialized these days. So, if a patient has a problem with 12 their eye, you would consult a pediatric ophthalmologist. 13 they have a problem with their brain, they would consult with a 14 pediatric neurosurgeon or a pediatric neurologist and likewise. 15 So, a consult is a -- someone who is asked to see a patient for 16 a specific purpose related to their area. 17 Why were you asked to get involved in 18 treatment? 19 Α. Because had acute or subdural hematoma. 20 Ο. Now, did you review any of 's records as part 21 of your consult? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Ο. Do you recall what records you reviewed?

Whatever was available in the medical record.

to what degree I reviewed it, I don't have any direct

24

25

recollection, but I would have -- my practice was to see the patient with one of the residents, possibly the resident who had initially seen him in consultation. So, it would be a combination of getting information directly from other doctors who are caring for him, including my own resident, and the chart; but I have no direct recollection in this case of what I actually reviewed.

- Q. Did you review any tests or scans of
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What did you review?
- A. I reviewed his CT scan.
- O. And what is a CT scan?
- A. A CT scan is a specialized x-ray. X-rays look at different densities of tissue. Regular x-ray can only discriminate between very wide densities. For example, if you take a chest x-ray, you can see bone and you can see air and you can see vague gray soft tissues, but a CT allows one to see very small differences. So, you can see much more detail. And it is -- but still, you are looking at densities; and the format is such that you view a three-dimensional structure in two dimensions. It's like slicing a tomato and taking the top slice off and looking at that slice, and then taking the next slice off and looking at that slice. So, you get a slice from the bottom to top or top to bottom or whatever part of the body you are scanning.

7

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- Does a CAT scan typically only look at one slice? Q.
- There's always -- always covers multiple Α. No, no. slices, and the thickness of the slice also varies, and there are other techniques, like injecting contrast agent, which you can do to make certain tissues look different and help you distinguish between one thing and another.
- How do the differences in density appear visually on Ο. the CAT scan?
- Well, the CAT scan is, in essence, a bunch of zeros It's digital information that then needs to be put and ones. into a picture format, and that is done on a gray scale, meaning from bright-bright white to black-black-black and everything in between, and those -- the image can be manipulated. There is no -- for example, in the old days when you took an x-ray, it came out on a piece of film. That was the original. There is no original CAT scan that anyone can look at. It's just numbers that get manipulated. Does that make sense?
- Ο. Yes, it does. Thank you. Do you actually perform the CAT scans yourself?
- Α. The CAT scans are done by specially trained x-ray technicians.
 - Ο. Are those technicians doctors?
 - Α. No, but they are supervised by doctors.
 - Q. And then once the scan is complete, what is done with

2

3

5

4

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

. 23

24

25

that data? Who looks at it next?

- Well, it depends on what is being imaged. it's the brain, most institutions today, although not all, would have a neuroradiologist - certainly, the Albany Medical Center Hospital has Board certified neuroradiologists - who are specially trained not just in radiology but in looking at images of the nervous system; would review those films, dictate a report, and that report would be printed out. It would be corrected by the neuroradiologist and then would become part of the medical record.
 - Q. Are you a neuroradiologist?
 - Α. No.
 - Ο. Can only radiologists read scans?
- Α. Anybody can read a scan, but in terms of competence -- well, part of neurosurgical training is -- a large part of neurosurgical training is involved in reading scans; CAT scans, MRI's. Neurosurgeons make decisions on how to treat patients, particularly surgical treatments, based on imaging in large part. So, we can't rely on someone else, someone else's interpretation of a film, if we are going to be the responsible surgeon to operate on someone's brain. have to be -- we have to know how to read scans.

Now, are there times when we consult with neuroradiologists about a difficult case, for example? Absolutely. We work together. But many times for

straightforward problems, although films are officially read, we always look at them ourselves and make our own determinations.

- Q. So, approximately how many scans have you read in your career?
- A. Probably hundreds of thousands. My career in neurosurgery --

MS. EGAN:

MR. COFFEY: Objection. He wasn't asked about his career. He was asked how many he read.

THE COURT: Ask another question, Ms. Egan.

Q. Now, did you review 's CAT scan yourself?

Sure, Judge.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And how is the information from a CAT scan stored?
- A. Um, well, I'm sure it's on-line for a time and then it probably gets archived. What that means at Albany Med, I don't know, but I would venture to guess that it's stored on tape.
- Q. Has neuroradiology always been its own specialty, Doctor?
- A. No. When I began practice, there was no specialty of neuroradiology. All of the studies that were done were done by neurosurgery pretty much. So, back before there was CT and MRI, the available modalities were mostly x-ray and angiography, where dye is injected into blood vessels and the

rapid sequence of x-rays were taken to outline the blood vessels and a technique called pneumoencephalography. So, when I started my neurosurgical training, that's what the junior neurosurgical residents did. We did studies on thousands of angiograms and pneumoencephalographs. And then when CT's started, eventually, neuroradiology became a separate specialty.

- Q. And prior to neuroradiology becoming a separate specialty, all neurosurgeons had to read their own scans?
- A. Yes. I don't recall, but it's possible that they were not even reviewed by radiology at all at that point. I don't recall.
- Q. Thank you. Now, if I were to show you images of 's CAT scan here today, could you explain to the jury what you saw on that scan?
 - A. Yes.

MS. EGAN: Then at this time, Judge, I would move People's Exhibit Number 14 into evidence, which is, I understand, going to be on stipulation.

MR. COFFEY: Well, I want to get a foundation for this, if I can, meaning -- can I ask him questions first? It's part of the record.

THE COURT: Yes. If you are objecting on foundation, Ms. Egan can attempt to lay the foundation or if you want, Mr. Coffey, to do it -- I'm not sure. Is

1	there an objection?
2	MR. COFFEY: I don't know because
3	MS. EGAN: I'm sorry. I'm confused. I thought
4	we had agreed that that would be stipulated in as the
5	other medical records were.
6	MR. COFFEY: That's fine.
7	MS. EGAN: I can get a certification for it if
8	needed.
9	MR. COFFEY: I don't object to it.
10	THE COURT: People's Exhibit 14 will be received
11	in evidence at this time on stipulation of the parties.
12	Ms. Egan, would you please, for the record, identify what
13	People's 14 is?
14	MS. EGAN: Certainly. People's 14 is a compact
15	disk which contains the images derived from the CAT scan
16	of which was conducted on September 21st of
17	2008.
18	THE COURT: Okay. We will have it marked in on
19	stipulation of the parties.
20	(People's Exhibit 14 marked for identification received in
21	evidence and marked People's Exhibit 14 in evidence.)
22	MS. EGAN: If I could just have one moment, Your
23	Honor, to set up the audiovisual display.
24	THE COURT: Sure.
25	(Brief pause in proceedings.)

1	MS. EGAN: I apologize for the delay, Your
2	Honor. I'm having a little better time with it this time
3	but technology is not my friend.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MS. EGAN: Your Honor, may I ask the witness to
6	step down off the stand?
7	THE COURT: That's fine. Doctor, if I could
8	just ask that you keep your voice up nice and loud. Thank
9	you.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
11	MS. EGAN: And I will ask that you stand off to
12	the side.
13	THE WITNESS: Maybe I will stand on that side.
14	Q. Is this the scan that you previously reviewed in
15	connection with connection with case?
16	A. Right. This is from Albany
17	MR. COFFEY: Can I identify which picture he's
18	identifying, so we can specifically know exactly what
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	MS. EGAN: Certainly, Mr. Coffey.
21	Q. I'm beginning with image number one of the scan.
22	A. Here it says image one of 28.
23	THE COURT: Hold on one second. Can the jury
24	hear the Doctor?
25	A. One of 28. So, there are 28 slices. This is the

first slice. We are looking -- we are going to be looking at a series of slices starting at the base of the brain going up toward the top. The other thing I would like to point out is that on CAT scans right is on the left and left is on the right. So that, hopefully, won't confuse you.

- Q. Thank you, Doctor. So, image number one, what is this slice portraying?
- A. Well, what we can see here, here you can see the eyes and these bright spots -- first of all, as tissue gets denser and denser, it gets whiter and whiter. So, just for reference, air you see, for example, in the sinuses would be black and this, on these images, bone will be white, denser than air. Gray is soft tissues.

So, what we are seeing here is actually a cervical vertebrae. This is lower than the brain, and this gray tissue right here is the spinal cord. We see the eyes. The bright white are the lenses of the eyes, and that is about all that's worth mentioning on that slice.

THE COURT: Ms. Egan, I'm not sure the way this is positioned and the way the Doctor is positioned that everybody can see the screen. So, it's your witness. It's your presentation, but I just bring that to your attention.

MS. EGAN: Certainly, Judge. Would the Court inquire as to whether the jurors are having difficulty

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21.

22

23

24

25

viewing the images?

When the Doctor is leaning in to THE COURT: explain, I believe he's blocking part of the screen so --THE WITNESS: I could go to the other side and just block Mr. Coffey.

MS. EGAN: Let me see if I can come up with a suitable arrangement for all, Judge. Would the Court inquire -- can all of the jurors see the image now? THE COURT: The jurors are indicating that they can.

Α. Again, I just want to emphasize that the brightness, the whiteness, the darkness can be manipulated. If you were looking at density -- so, if the densest thing you can imagine - let's say land - is here and air is down here, the image could show just simply total black and totally white. If you divide that whole range into just two images, everything from the middle down to the bottom is completely black and everything -- but above that would be completely white. That wouldn't be very useful. So, we divide it up into gradations of white to black and everything in between, but you can also change that level. If you are interested, for example, just in looking at bone, you could move the whole window up toward the density that that bone is at and divide that up into more. we are going to be doing that a little bit as we go through.

Can I just clarify, Doctor? When you say that the Q.

23

24

25

image and densities can be manipulated, do you mean you are changing the data or you are changing the view of the data?

- A. You are not changing the data. You are just changing the presentation, the whiteness or blackness or grayness to try to tweak it to get the detail that you want to.
 - Q. Thank you.
 - A. I could give an example.
 - Q. Please do.
- A. It just shows you here the window level. It's set to look at the brain now, but if we set it to look at the bone, you can see how it changes and you can see, where this was completely bright white before, now you can see bone and you can see marrow there. Now I just have to get it back. So, we are going to window width and level and we are going to go to brain. I'm going back to where we were.
 - Q. Are we ready to move on to image number two?
 - A. We are.
 - Q. Do you mind advancing the computer, Doctor?
- A. All right. Okay. This is image two of 28. It doesn't show a whole lot of difference. This is spinal cord still. These are the lobes. This is air, and then this is the nose, pharynx. You can see a little bit of the ears here.
- Q. Let's move on to image three. What do you see in this image?
 - A. We are still seeing spinal cord. We are getting near

the base of the skull. We are seeing a little bit of the eyes. This right here is a little bit of the gyrus rectus, which is the underneath surface of the frontal lobe of the brain, and this is a little bit of the temporal lobes which sit on the sides of the brain; but nothing else to remark on there, no abnormalities.

- Q. Let's move on to image four. And what is portrayed here, Doctor?
- A. Not a whole lot. A little of the temporal lobes, frontal lobes. This is still within the orbit. This very dark stuff is periorbital fat, probably the spinal cord and lower brain stem right here. Now you can start to see a little difference. Now, one thing also to point out is that you can see that this gray part here that we are seeing is larger than this. That's because the child -- and this doesn't look like this because the child isn't quite straight in the machine. He's a little bit tilted. One side is a little lower than the other side. And here you can see some dark areas here. We will explore that at higher cuts.
 - Q. Let's move on to image five.
- A. Here we can see some abnormalities. This is image five of 28. And you can see here, this is brain, this gray, and this is fluid of some nature between the brain and the bone, and you can also see remember, this is left side; this is right side that it is a little denser, a little whiter on

this side compared to this side. You can also see more of this dark around the temporal lobe on that side particularly. That is also some kind of fluid.

- Q. And approximately where in the head is this image taken?
- A. Well, this would be inside here (indicating), just inside the frontal areas, and these are more or less where your ear is and see, this is ear so a little bit in front of the ear.
 - Q. Let's move on to image number six.
- A. Okay. So, now we can see more of this fluid that is surrounding the brain. There is normal fluid around the brain. It's called cerebrospinal fluid or CSF. And although it's not exactly like water, if you look at it in a glass, it would look like water. It's clear fluid, normal. It has some proteins in it and it has some electrolytes and various other things, but it looks like water. And, typically, water would be a little darker than this. So, there's something this is not normal looking CSF. It's got something that increases the density, makes it a little grayer, a little whiter. So, it has something mixed in it.
 - Q. So, what level would regular CSF read as?
- A. It would look blacker than this. This is air. It wouldn't be that black, but it would be blacker than that probably.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25

- Q. Let's move on to image seven.
- We can probably move a little quicker if you want to Α. skip some of these.
 - Q. Certainly.
 - Α. Okay.
 - Just for the record, which image is this? Q.
- Α. This is image nine. So, in this image, we can again see that there is some kind of a collection surrounding the This is a normal structure. This is called a fourth ventricle. It's a normal cavity inside the brain where the cerebrospinal fluid flows, and these are what are called the temporal horns. This is also part of the ventricular system inside the brain where there is normal cerebrospinal fluid. So, these are normal structures. And there's also cerebrospinal fluid outside the brain between the arachnoid and pia. So, there is -- the brain is floating, if you will. That's probably not a good term, but surrounded by cerebrospinal fluid in normal conditions.
- Since you mentioned it, Doctor, I'm going to interrupt. What is the pia and what is the arachnoid?
- Well, if you look at them on the microscope, they are There's no difference between the pia and the The pia is a very thin translucent membrane that is -- it's right on the surface of the brain; in some cases, adherent to the brain surface. The arachnoid is separated from

the pia and there are little strands of pia-arachnoid that extend between them, and arachnoid means spider-like, basically. So, those little fingers of stuff look like a spider web. So, that's why it's called the pia-arachnoid, and it's between the pia and arachnoid that the cerebrospinal fluid flows.

- Q. Does the arachnoid refer to another membrane?
- A. It is another membrane, but it's identical to the arachnoid. It's just the location that is different. So, the pia is right on the surface of the brain. Then it is the cerebrospinal fluid and the arachnoid that encompasses the cerebrospinal fluid. And then on top of that is the dura mater, which is a thicker fibrous layer that sits right on top of the arachnoid. So, in normal circumstances, there's no actual space, meaning they are together like this (indicating), but if something happens, something gets injected into that space, then it becomes a real space. That's the subdural space, and then the skull sits on stop of the dura. And again, there is no there is a potential space between the dura and the skull, but under normal conditions, there's no real space there.
- Q. Now, is there anything else of clinical significance that you note in this image?
- A. Yeah. I'm going to try -- it's probably difficult to see from where you all are, but this fluid collection is a

little brighter, a little whiter than this side. Can you appreciate it? There's also a -- maybe we can find it better on another image. This is a little better. There is a --

- Q. Just for the record, Doctor, which image is this?
- A. This is image ten.
- Q. Thank you.
- A. Let me get rid of those. Again, I apologize, because it's difficult to see, but there is this collection here, and then underneath it, there's a little dark. There's a darker, little bit of a darker -- is that visible at all from where you are? So, I would interpret this as showing that -- between here and here is the subdural space and this is the subarachnoid space. That's what we see here, this darker.
- Q. And the shading, is there any significance to the shades of gray on this image?
- A. Well, it means that this is denser than this side. In think it may be even more clear here. You can see this darker rim. That's right on -- closer to the surface of the brain. That, I believe, is the subarachnoid space and this is the subdural space. Now, here you can see ventricles, the normal spaces, and you can see that the fluid is darker. That's more toward normal. I can't say that it's completely normal, but it's certainly darker than either one of these. This is more like what normal cerebrospinal fluid looks like.

And again, you can see that -- now this is the back

of the head, the occipital lobe right here. You can see here this fluid space and it's brighter, significantly brighter and larger than on the left side; and here, also, you can see it's even brighter right there. So, there are some gradations of the density as we go from front to back, and that has to do with -- what is causing that injury is density being affected by gravity. This is a child who has been unconscious and lying on his back.

So, if, for example, there is blood or protein fluid, that would settle out. So, you know, if you put -- you know, mix something in water, some - I don't know - orange juice or something and let it sit there, all the pulp would go to the bottom of the glass. That's probably what is happening here.

- Q. Doctor, which image is this?
- A. This is image -- one of these days, I will remember to say that first. This is image 14.
- Q. What does the increased brightness in that area indicate to you?
- A. Well, theoretically, it just means it's denser than something that's darker. It's more dense.
 - Q. What could cause that increase in density?
- A. Blood. More of the same, as you can see, again, the difference, but this extends over the whole surface of the brain. And I would also point out -- this is image 20 of 28.
 - Q. Thank you, Doctor.

A. If you leave the brain for a second and just look at the bone. A young infant's skull is not one giant bone. There are multiple bones. There are two parietal bones, two frontal bones, occipital bones, temporal bones and others. Where bones come together, flat bones come together are called sutures.

Usually, they interdigitate like a zipper; but in a very young child, they are less zig-zaggy and they more abut each other.

When you have pressure inside the head by some accumulation of fluid or swelling of the brain, it can push the sutures apart, spread the sutures apart. So, that is a sign of, probably, abnormal pressure inside the head; and this area here, you don't see the bright white bone. This is the anterior fontanelle, the soft spot babies have.

And this is the -- these are the two coronal sutures that separate the frontal bones from the parietal bones, and they are -- and these are the lambdoid sutures. The names are not important, but they are spread apart, indicating a problem with pressure inside the head. That is about -- everything else would be redundant to talk about. And there are no -- a fracture would appear as a dark line in the bone. You would see those fractures.

- Q. Doctor, what color would fresh or old blood appear as on the scan?
- A. Okay. Acute blood, acute clotted blood typically is bright white; and ferial blood can ultimately look the same as

metabolized. The proteins and whatever leak out and the body takes it away; and over time, it becomes more and more and more and more like cerebrospinal fluid, looks on the scan more and more like cerebrospinal fluid.

But in children particularly -- for example, if there is an injury that causes subdural bleeding, that injury can also sometimes tear the arachnoid membrane - remember, it's very thin and transparent - in which case, cerebrospinal fluid can mix with the blood and, if you will, dilute it and make it look less bright. So, that's why it's sometimes very difficult to age very precisely subdural hematomas on the basis of a CT scan.

- Q. And Doctor, based on your experience and review of this scan, do you have an opinion as to whether hematomas are present in _____'s head?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. And what is that opinion?
- A. I believe that he has bilateral subdural hematomas with more acute blood or fresh blood on the right side.
- Q. And what is it that you see in the scan that leads you to that opinion?
 - A. Well, I believe that --

MR. COFFEY: Judge, can I have him identify what we are looking at?

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

- A. Yes. I'm looking again -- this is image 24, and I'm looking at the fluid surrounding the outside of the brain and the inner hemisphere fissure around the brain on both sides. This is not normal. There is normally not that giant space there, and I believe that -- this is subdural space on the other side and this is brighter, so it's got more -- it's denser, and I believe the explanation for that is blood.
 - Q. Thank you, Doctor.
 - A. More acute blood.
- Q. Thank you. At this point, Doctor, I believe we are done with the scan, so you can take your seat.

THE COURT: Members of the jury, would you like to take a break at this point in time? I believe there's some more time left with this witness. Would you like to take a break at this time? Okay. We will do that.

Members of the jury, we will take a 15-minute break at this time. Don't discuss the case. Don't form any judgments or opinions. Don't read or listen to any media accounts. If anyone attempts to improperly influence you, report that directly to me.

MR. COFFEY: Judge, can you admonish --

THE COURT: You are all set.

(Jury excused.)

THE COURT: Be seated, please. Doctor, because you are still giving sworn testimony in this case, I will

1	ask that during this break you please not discuss your
2	testimony or the case with anyone, including the attorneys
3	involved.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I understand.
5	THE COURT: You may step down.
6	(Brief recess taken.)
7	(Albany Medical Center Report marked People's Exhibit 21 for
8	identification.)
9	THE COURT: Bring the jury back in, please.
10	MS. BOOK: Judge, if at some point it looks like
11	we are not going to get to Dr. Sikirica for some reason, I
12	would just ask if maybe we can talk about it so we can let
13	him go because he has other work to do but is, obviously,
L 4	willing to stay here and stay late if we are going to get
15	to him and finish his testimony. But if it comes to a
16	point where it looks like we are not going to get to him,
17	maybe we can talk about it at that point.
18	THE COURT: Sure. We have two hours left. We
19	will see how we go, but that's fine. Okay. Bring the
20	jury in, please.
21	COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering.
22	THE COURT: Please be seated. The sworn witness
23	remains John Waldman. Doctor, I will remind you you are
24	still under oath. Ms. Egan, you may continue.
25	MS. EGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. And Judy, can

1	I have the last question and answer read back?
2	(The previous question and answer were read back
3	by the Reporter.)
4	BY MS. EGAN: (Continuing)
5	Q. Doctor, what is a subdural hematoma?
6	A. A collection of blood on the subdural space.
7	Q. Are these collections normally present?
8	A. No.
9	Q. How can they be caused?
10	A. They are caused by trauma, varying degrees.
11	Q. And what is it about the collections that indicates
12	that they are caused by trauma?
13	A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question?
14	Q. I will rephrase the question. Can they be caused by
15	accidents?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Is there a way to differentiate a hematoma caused by
18	accidents versus one caused by trauma?
19	A. On a CT scan or by looking at an autopsy or overall?
20	Q. We will start with on a CT scan.
21	A. No.
22	Q. Then looking at other clinical signs and symptoms of
23	the patient?
24	A. Well, if there is bruising or evidence of impact and
25	no history of trauma, that certainly raises the issue of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

inflicted injury. If there's a history of an automobile -high speed automobile accident, then that could explain
subdural hematoma; the child walking into someone swinging a
baseball bat. I mean, there are many kinds of traumas that can
cause subdural hematomas, but you can't tell one from the other
by looking at a CAT scan.

- Q. Based on your review of scan and his medical chart, do you have an opinion as to how these subdural hematomas were caused?
 - A. I do, yes.
 - Q. And what is that opinion?
- A. I think he sustained a blunt force trauma that caused the subdural hematomas and they caused his death, the child's death.
 - Q. Now, can you tell the age of the hematomas?
 - A. On a CAT scan?
 - O. Yes.
- A. No, not precisely. In some cases, you can, and -for example, if that big space were filled with entirely very
 bright signal, that would indicate an acute subdural hematoma
 and you could estimate that it happened roughly within hours or
 a day of the -- of presenting at the CAT scan. Chronic
 subdural hematomas that are over three weeks or so, typically,
 in age develop membranes that are visible on pathological
 evaluation. These membranes get, if you will, thicker over

time and are visible and can be seen, certainly, under microscope. So, that's the way of aging subdural hematomas.

But in a clinical situation, it's very difficult, particularly, as I mentioned before, in young children, where there can be a mixture of acute blood with cerebrospinal fluid that dilutes it. It makes it look, quote-unquote, more chronic than it actually is.

- Q. So, were you able to age subdural hematomas?
- A. No. There was -- appeared to be some fresh blood, probably within a day or two, mixed with CSF. So, I can't precisely age it, no.
- Q. Now, did the radiologist who performed the scan create a report?
 - A. He did, yes.
 - Q. Did you review that report?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Do you recall the findings contained in that report?
- A. More or less, yes. He described bilateral subdural fluid collections. He didn't characterize the fluid itself. He didn't. Although he subsequently suggested that the collection on the right side, the denser whiter side, he used the term subdural. Now, I think most people reading that would interpret that as subdural hematoma but he didn't actually say hematoma.

1	Q. So, as you recall, the word blood and hematoma are
2	not in that report. Is that correct?
3	A. Correct. Radiologists are looking at densities.
4	MR. COFFEY: I object. There's no question.
5	THE COURT: Sustained.
6	Q. But is it your interpretation of that language in the
7	report, based on your years of experience, that it does, in
8	fact, refer to hematomas or collections of bloody fluid?
9	MR. COFFEY: Object as leading.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	Q. Do you have an opinion as to what the language in
12	that report refers to?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. What is that opinion?
15	A. Well, the opinion is not separate from my own opinion
16	of interpreting the scan. I believe he was referring to - and
17	I am referring to - subdural hematoma.
18	Q. Now, in your years of practice, have you treated or
19	evaluated babies who had brain bleeds caused by birth?
20	A. I have definitely seen many children who have
21	bleeding from birth, yes.
22	Q. Have you seen subdural hematomas like those on
23	's scan in any of the children that were associated with
24	birth?
25	A. Never.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q. Are there other types of disease that could cause subdural hematomas like the one on _____'s head?
- A. I think I mentioned before that there is always some trauma. The question is, in a normal child, it takes considerable force to cause a subdural hematoma. So, if there's some underlying disorder that may predispose to bleeding, then a lesser degree of trauma might lead to a subdural hematoma.
- Q. Are there any empirical studies that have evaluated accidental versus inflicted head trauma in children?
- Α. There are hundreds of thousands of studies. was a study that looked at normal birth. I believe they did a consecutive series of children who were delivered, I believe, by all means; normal, spontaneous, vaginal deliveries. I think there might have even been some C-section children in the study. I don't remember the exact, but these were normal There was no suspicion -- they weren't preemies. children. There was no suspicion of intracranial bleeding clinically, and all these children went under MRI scans and there was a number of patients - again, I don't remember the percentage; I believe it was under 50 percent but it was not -- it wasn't point one percent - who actually had extremely thin -- had evidence of extremely thin subdural hematomas. These were all nonclinical. You wouldn't have known they were there, in other words, unless you actually looked for them on the scan. And they were

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
	4
2	5

followed and rescanned, and 100 percent of them were completely gone by a month and none of them ever developed into anything clinically significant. They were all just teensy little thin rim of subdural blood. We have known for a very long time that subarachnoid blood, blood in that space between the arachnoid and the pia where the spinal cord is, that's extremely common after normal vaginal delivery.

- Q. And in those cases, does that subarachnoid blood resolve on its own?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any studies assessing accidental head trauma in children from falls?
 - A. From falls?
 - O. Yes.
 - A. Are you referring to short falls?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. The definition of a short fall -- it depends on the article but, typically, we can say under four feet, falling off a changing table, falling from a chair.
 - MR. COFFEY: Objection as testifying outside his expertise.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. Judge, have you reviewed -- I'm sorry. Dr. Waldman, have you reviewed such studies in your clinical practice and relied upon them in formulating your own diagnoses of patients?

- A. Sure. It's a part of the -- it appears in the neurosurgical literature, many of these articles, and I read the literature.
- Q. Then could you tell us what these studies of short falls have found?
- A. Well, the predominant feeling is that short falls do not lead to -- short falls can cause skull fractures. This is known. Short falls can lead to death extremely rarely, one in several million. Those deaths, the patients who die from short falls, the majority of the literature indicates that they die from epidural hematomas, which is different from the subdural. It's between the dura and the skull and it's more related to a skull fracture that injures a specific blood vessel on the outside of the dura. So, in the epidural stages, it's arterial blood. It develops rapidly and can lead to death. It's very different from a subdural hematoma.

And the other rare cause of death in a short fall is due to an injury to a carotid artery in the neck that leads to thrombosis and, basically, a massive stroke. There are very, very few case reports of children also dying from massive subdural hematoma, usually unilateral, after a short fall; but the vast majority of children who die, where there was a shortfall as an explanation for the injury, have a very different pattern of injury that has been shown. Except for a few outlying physicians, the vast majority of physicians

attribute this to nonaccidental trauma. So, extensive retinal hemorrhages, acute subdural hematomas, significant alteration of a neurological function, coma; that, together, without a history of significant injury, like an automobile accident, raises a high level of suspicion for inflicted or nonaccidental injury.

- Q. Did have any other signs or symptoms consistent with inflicted head injury?
- A. He had, as was described by the ophthalmologist, extensive retinal hemorrhages and, of course, he had, you know, profoundly altered neurological condition. He was near death, if not clinically brain-dead, by the time he got to Albany Medical Center.
- Q. Now, Doctor, are you familiar with a condition known as sepsis?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is your understanding of sepsis?
- A. Well, sepsis means a biological organism in the blood.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the term coagulopathy?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is coagulopathy?
- A. Coagulopathy is a term used to describe a disorder of clotting, blood clotting.
 - Q. And how about DIC? Are you familiar with that term?

- Ţ
- 2
- 3
- 5
- 6 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- A. Yes.
- Q. And what does that mean?
- A. DIC means disseminated intravascular coagulation or coagulopathy.
 - O. And what condition does that refer to?
- A. Well, sometimes something can trigger the pathway that leads to blood clots. And if that process is widespread, it can consume the proteins that circulate, that actually are involved in the formation of blood clotting. So, if you use them all up, clotting blood, there's none left, and then you can get abnormal bleeding, and that's what disseminated intravascular coagulopathy means.
- Q. Could coagulopathy have led to the type of subdural hematoma that had?

MR. COFFEY: Object to that. It's outside the areas of his expertise.

THE COURT: Sustained on foundation.

- Q. In your experience, have you treated pediatric patients that have clotting disorders? Have you treated them for head injuries, as well?
- A. Yes. Severe brain injury is one of the, I guess, common causes of DIC. The brain is a very rich source of tissue thromboplastin, which is a protein that can initiate clotting. So, when the brain is injured and those proteins then get into the bloodstream, they can initiate disseminated

intravascular coagulation.

- Q. So, could a head injury cause DIC?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, after you finished your consult with did you provide any additional services or treatment to him?
- A. No. By the time I saw him, he had no salvageable -he was not salvageable. His neurological condition was as bad
 as it could be, and it wasn't something that he could recover
 from.
 - Q. Would you describe him as clinically brain-dead?
- A. By the second time I saw him, I believe he was or about to be declared clinically brain-dead. When I say brain-dead, I'm not talking about the legal definition in the sense that you have to do a specific series of tests. What I mean to say is that he had no evidence of neurological function. He was in deep coma and his pupils were not reactive to light. He had no brain stem reflexes and no movement. So, neurologically, that is part of the brain death criteria, that neurological -- those neurological findings.
- Q. Are there any other tests associated with the determination of brain death?
- A. Yeah. There's several others. They are not all required, but you have to do what's called an apnea test. One of the -- the very lowest functions of the brain stem is to provide a stimulation for breathing. So, when that is lost,

for identification to Dr. Waldman. Can you look at that and tell me if those are the notes to which you are referring?

- A. Yes. I think there's a page two for this.
- O. It's a double-sided document. Is that the page two?
- A. Yes. Those are the two notes.
- Q. Could you review them to yourself and then let me know when your recollection has been refreshed.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Let the record reflect I am taking the exhibit from Dr. Waldman. Now that your memory has been refreshed, Dr. Waldman, what tests did you perform on to assess his neurological function?
- A. I did some basic parts of the neurological exam. I looked in his eyes and shown a light in his eyes. His pupils were fixed and unreactive. I checked to see whether he had a gag reflex, which is another brain stem reflex, and that was absent. And I touched his eye with a piece of cotton, touched his cornea to see whether he blinked that's another brain stem reflex and he did not; and he had no movement whatsoever to painful stimuli. I also mentioned that he had no spontaneous respirations. That was probably reported to me. I doubt that I checked that myself. I don't have any direct recollection of examining him.
- Q. And what was your opinion of state 's neurological function?

- A. That he was -- they should consider a brain death determination.
- Q. Now, based on your review of street, were you aware of the progress of his decline?
 - A. From the records?
- Q. Or otherwise, from the records or your experience in dealing with other doctors on the case.
- A. Well, it's a little difficult, because I don't have any direct recollection of talking to anybody or -- and whatever, and I have reviewed other records. So, I'm not a hundred percent sure how to answer that; but I believe that he was ill for a day or two beforehand. I know now that he did a partial feeding early in the morning, and then some time later in the morning, hours later, he was found unresponsive and 911 was called.
- Q. Were you aware of the time frame between when he was found unresponsive and when the CAT scan was performed?
- A. I don't recall exactly the time. I think it was that he got to Albany Med and he had been at, I believe, Samaritan Hospital before that. So, I don't know exactly a time. I think it was something -- probably three hours or so, maybe a little bit more.
- Q. Now, in your opinion, Doctor, could the -- could 's subdural hematomas have accounted for a rapid decline in his condition?

- _ -

- A. Yes.
- Q. How could that be?
- A. Well, there's several factors that determine the time frame. An infant is a little bit different from an older child and adult in that the intracranial volume can expand to a degree because the sutures are open. We saw this in scase; but there is a limit to that, as well. I mean, the head typically just doesn't get bigger and bigger and bigger forever. The head has no empty space. The intracranial space where the brain is has no empty space. There's either brain, there's blood, normally blood vessels, arteries, capillaries and veins, and there is the cerebrospinal fluid.

There are mechanisms as -- for example, let's just say a brain tumor is growing inside the head or a blood clot is accumulating inside the head. The intracranial pressure will not go up initially because the brain has the ability to absorb more cerebrospinal fluid than it produces. So, as some amount of blood is entering the head, into the subdural space, an equal amount of cerebrospinal fluid is displaced, so there's no change in pressure, and there are other mechanisms.

But, eventually, these mechanisms can be exhausted where, now, every little bit extra of blood causes a -- I am sorry. Whereas the pressure, as you are putting volume in, has stayed flat. Initially, eventually, when those mechanisms are exhausted, there is a precipitous rise in pressure, and that

pressure then kills the brain. It can be high enough, as I mentioned before, to prevent blood from going into the head. The brain doesn't store oxygen or store nutrients. It requires them on a constant basis to maintain a life. So, when that happens, the brain dies.

them initially when they are not yet brain-dead, you don't know exactly where they are in that curve or how close. If someone is awake -- let's say was seen six or eight hours earlier. He might have been very cranky. He might have been sleepy, but he wouldn't have been in deep comma. We know that because he fed. But we wouldn't know where along that line he is. It could be in the next minute that he shoots up. It could be in the next hour he shoots up. So, that's how, even a subdural hematoma that is not occurring instantaneously like some do - not instantaneously, but very rapidly - can eventually lead to brain death.

So, I believe that's what happened to had. He had subdural hematoma. He had an additional injury that led to this brighter signal in the subdural space that is more acute, fresh blood that tipped him over to the -- sent him over the balance. He crossed that elbow, that curve, and developed severe increased intracranial pressure that caused his demise.

Q. So, in your opinion, is it possible for an infant to function relatively normal for some period of time after

1	sustaining an inflicted subdural hematoma?
2	A. Well, in this case
3	MR. COFFEY: He was asked a general question. I
4	object to anything further.
5	THE COURT: I don't understand the objection.
6	MR. COFFEY: The question is was it possible
7	that the patient can for a certain period of time. I
8	don't object to the question, but unless he relates it to
9	that question, I object.
10	THE COURT: Well, I haven't heard an answer yet,
11	so Doctor, do you understand the question?
12	THE WITNESS: I would like it repeated, if
13	possible.
14	THE COURT: Can we have the question read back?
15	(Whereupon, the pending question was read back
16	by the Reporter.)
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Coffey, you have no objection to
19	that. Is that right?
20	MR. COFFEY: No, I don't.
21	Q. And how is that possible?
22	A. Well, as I mentioned, there are mechanisms initially
23	to compensate for the accumulation of this bloody fluid. In an
24	individual case, you don't know, because you don't know the
25	rate at which it's happening. There are a lot of unknowns, but

it is possible for someone to have the onset of a subdural hematoma. I mean, this is not an example that's relevant to this case, but in elderly people -- from the time we are born, we are losing brain cells. Our brain is shrinking. And by the time you get to my age or older, your brain has shrunk significantly. You can see it on a CAT scan, and cerebrospinal fluid takes up that space.

But without going into great detail, you can develop subdural hematomas from a simple fall sometimes that you don't even remember and yet -- some subdural developing. Once it becomes chronic, then you have these membranes and they can leak blood, or there can be minor leaks and they can get bigger and bigger and bigger very, very, very slowly. So, by the time someone becomes symptomatic, they don't even remember their original injury; it happened maybe months before. That's obviously different from this case, but that's how it happens. It depends on the rate and the size and the ability of the brain to compensate how quickly someone becomes symptomatic.

MS. EGAN: If I could just have one moment, Judge? I have no further questions at this time.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Coffey?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COFFEY:

- Q. Doctor, good afternoon.
- A. Good afternoon, Mr. Coffey.

1	Q. Doctor, let me start off, do you consider yourself an
2	advocate?
3	A. An advocate?
4	Q. An advocate?
5	A. I am here to give my truthful opinion.
6	Q. Well, I don't think anyone is saying you are
7	untruthful, but that's not my question, and I'm sure you
8	understand it. In this case, do you consider yourself an
9	advocate?
10	MS. EGAN: Judge, I'm going to object to this
11	question.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
1.3	Q. Well, are you a scientist?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Now, if you or anybody else were a true scientist,
16	would you agree that the facts would take you in whatever
17	direction you would go, regardless if it, quote, helps one side
18	or the next? Would you agree or disagree with that?
19	A. I would agree with that.
20	Q. So, when I asked you that question initially let
21	me ask it another way. Do you believe and I understand you
22	have an opinion. We will deal with that. But do you believe
23	that your role here is to help the District Attorney's Office
24	in a way that goes beyond science?
25	MS. EGAN: Objection.

1	THE COURT: The objection is overruled. I
2	understand the point of the question now. The objection
3	is overruled.
4	Q. Do you understand my question?
5	A. I guess it depends on your definition of science.
6	Q. Well, you testified you looked at 28 images in
7 ·	this case; correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And that, in a sense, is science; isn't it? In other
10	words, there's objective and subjective things in medicine;
11	correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Now, what opinions may be may be subjective, but when
14	you looked at the brain, would I be correct that those are
15	objective views of the brain? Would that be a correct
16	statement?
17	A. They're objective determinations of various densities
18	within the brain.
19	Q. And if I ask you your temperature and you take your
20	temperature at 98.6 - and we have asked this question before -
21	that's an objective reading of a person's temperature; correct?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. If a person says I have a headache or a stomachache,
24	that's subjective; correct?
25	A. Correct.

1	Q. May be caused by an objective problem. But when
2	people describe pain and so forth, that's subjective; correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Now, Doctor, do you agree with me that you should not
5	testify beyond your expertise?
6	A. Again, I think it's a matter of definition.
7	Q. Well, you wouldn't testify as a gynecologist; would
8	you?
9	A. Absolutely would not.
10	Q. You wouldn't testify as an orthopedist; would you?
11	A. No, but that doesn't mean I don't know anything about
12	it and it doesn't mean that I haven't taken care of patients
13	with fractures or whatever. I wouldn't pass myself off as an
14	orthopedic surgeon.
15	Q. Are you board certified in orthopedics?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Are you board certified in neuropathology?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Are you board certified in infectious diseases?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Are you board certified in pediatrics?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Are you board certified in pathology?
24	A. No.
25 .	Q. So, you are board certified in neurosurgery; correct?

1	A. I am, yes.
2	Q. And when people come when this boy came to the
3	hospital, would I be correct that one of the reasons why you
4	saw this baby is because you were acting within your skill as a
5	neurosurgeon, pediatric neurosurgery; correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Now, let's talk about what you reviewed before you
8	testified here today. You have given testimony previously;
9	correct?
10	A. In this case?
11	Q. Yes.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Would I be presumptuous that you when I ask if you
14	reviewed that testimony?
15	A. My testimony?
16	Q. Yes.
17	A. My testimony, I did review, yes.
18	Q. When did you review it?
19	A. Days ago; last week, probably.
20	Q. Were you with anyone when you reviewed it?
21	A. No.
22 .	Q. Had you met with members of the District Attorney's
23	Office?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And when was the last time you met with them?

1	A. Three weeks ago? Two weeks ago, something like that
2	Q. And where did you meet?
3	A. At my home.
4	Q. And who from the District Attorney's Office came to
5	your home?
6	A. Ms. Egan and Ms. Book.
7	Q. And how many times have they been to your home?
8	A. Once.
9	Q. How long did you meet with them?
10	A. I would guess an hour to an hour and a half,
11	something like that.
12	Q. Had you ever met with either one of them prior to
13	that date?
14	A. Not to my recollection, no.
15	Q. Now, did you make notes?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Did they make notes that you recall? Do you recall
18	them writing in front of you?
19	A. Possibly. I don't recall.
20	Q. Now, were you made aware of the fact that there are
21	other competent well, I will take out the word competent.
22	There are other people who have expressed an opinion contrary
23	to yours. Did the District Attorneys tell you about that?
24	A. Contrary to my what opinion of mine?
25	Q. The opinion that this baby's death was caused by

1	A. No.
2	Q. You are aware of the fact that Dr. Leestma is a
3	nationally renowned neuropathologist; correct?
4	A. I have no idea what his reputation is.
5	Q. Well, if you read his testimony, he gave his
6	background in the field of neuropathology; didn't he?
7	A. I think I probably skipped that.
8	Q. And Dr. Klein, do you know what his specialty is, or
9	did you skip that, as well?
10	A. I think he is an infectious disease doctor.
11	MS. EGAN: Objection. I don't see the relevanc
12	of these questions.
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	Q. You are not an expert in sepsis; are you?
15	A. I am not, no.
16	Q. In fact, you have expressly under oath admitted that
17	you are not an expert in sepsis; correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And in that instance, you would defer, would you not
20	to a person who is an expert in sepsis?
21	A. Would I defer?
22	Q. Yes, in terms of what sepsis is caused by, its
23	effects and so forth. Would you defer to a person who is an
24	expert in sepsis?
25	A. I think there are people who are board certified in

variety of things who are -- it would depend on what their opinion was. I wouldn't -- just because they are experts in infectious disease, I wouldn't necessarily take everything they say to be truth, just like you are not taking everything that I say about neurosurgery to be true.

- Q. Well, in this case, whether sepsis leads to bleeding disorders -- and DIC is a bleeding disorder; isn't it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And whether sepsis, which is an infection that involves the -- a person's system; correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is septic shock?
- A. Septic shock is a condition where an infection overwhelms the body's ability to function. It may shut down the kidneys. It may shut down the liver. It may cause meningitis in the brain. It may cause disseminated intravascular coagulopathy. It can do a lots of things that can cause the body to shut down. Shock means the blood pressure is low and the patient is not adequately oxygenating and getting nutrition.
- Q. Now, the sepsis which can cause meningitis -- which is an inflammation of the meninges of the brain; correct?
- A. Yes. Well, the infection is typically within the cerebrospinal fluid and those membranes bound the -- bind the boundaries of the cerebrospinal fluid.

	Τ	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
•	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

- Q. Have you ever in your practice, Doctor, treated infants with septic shock?
- A. I have been involved -- not that I was treating them, but I have been treating patients for neurosurgical issues who have had septic shock.
- Q. And in that case, have you referred those patients to the patients -- or the physicians who are dealing with them to pediatric infectious disease experts?
- A. Yes, I would. If it were my patient who I thought had septic shock, I would refer it to a pediatric infectious disease doctor.
 - O. Which is what Dr. Klein is; correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, on the question of whether sepsis can lead to a bleeding disorder, you are not an expert on that; correct?
- A. Well, I mean, there's certain things that any doctor knows, and I do know that DIC can be caused by sepsis, if that's what you are asking me.
- Q. Well, I'm talking about bleeding disorders. Can sepsis -- whether or not most cases of sepsis leads to bleeding disorders, you are not an expert in that; correct?
- A. When you say most cases, yes, I wouldn't know how to answer that question.
 - Q. Okay. And in this case with the property of the developed

1	meningitis, didn't he, or don't you know; or no, for that
2	matter?
3	A. I'm not sure that he did develop meningitis.
4	Q. Did he I will get to that. The let's talk
5	about the this case in terms of We looked at the
6	CAT scans which you reviewed. And there was a report issued by
7	a Dr. Hoover. Is that correct?
8	A. Yes. That's the official report.
9	Q. That's the official report. And that's the report by
10	the neuroradiologist; correct?
11	A. That is the report of Dr. Eric Hoover, and I believe
12	he is a board certified neuroradiologist, but I'm not sure.
13	Q. All right. But anyway, you have worked with him in
14	the past; correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And have you ever talked to Dr. Hoover about this
17	matter?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Now, I understand that you can read and no one is
20	disputing the fact that you can read a CAT scan. If you really
21	wanted to know more about certain brain tissue, an MRI would be
22	even more specific; wouldn't it?
23	A. In certain circumstances, it would. I think you
24	know, an MR is not looking at density; it's looking at the
25	different parameter. So, certain kinds of fluid, for example,

might look different; and, so, an MR could add something in certain cases.

- Q. Well, in this case, Dr. Hoover certainly thought it might add something; right?
- A. I don't know that he did. I don't recall that part of the statement. Well, yes, he did. I do remember. Yes, he did.
- Q. And you knew when you testified today that I was going to ask you questions about your treatment and the findings made, and you certainly had to know that I was going to ask about Dr. Hoover's report; correct?
 - A. Well, I presumed you probably would, yes.
 - Q. Pretty good guess, right, or no?
 - A. Yeah. No. Go ahead.
- Q. Now, Dr. Hoover, in his report, indicated that there are large bilateral extraaxial fluid collections slightly larger on the right. I will show you. Do you want me to?
 - A. No. That's fine.
- Q. Posteriorly which is the back; right on the right side and I understand they are reverse on the CAT scans and over the upper aspect of the right cerebral convexity. The fluid is distinctly denser than on the left, which probably indicates it is a large subdural collection. Correct? That's what he wrote?
 - A. That's what he wrote.

	(Waranar People Olobe)
1	Q. Do you agree or disagree with that?
2	A. I agree with it.
3	Q. On the right, it is unclear whether the fluid is
4	subdural or merely subarachnoid. Do you agree or disagree with
5	that?
6	A. I don't disagree with it.
7	Q. Now, the distinction can easily be made - I'm going
8 .	to repeat that - the distinction can easily be made with MRI.
9	That's what he said.
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Do you think he's wrong about that?
12	A. No. I don't think he's wrong about it.
13	Q. Now, with regard to the fluid, he saw more fluid on
14	the right than on the left; correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And with regard to the right, you don't know how much
17	blood is in there; maybe two percent, one percent? You don't
18	know how much blood is in there, right, or do you?
19	A. No.
20	Q. You don't?
21	A. You mean blood versus a mixture of cerebrospinal
22	fluid? Correct.
23	Q. On a percentage basis; correct?

Now, if it's, say, one percent or five percent or

24

25

Α.

Q.

Correct.

1	maybe less than ten percent of blood, that's not a lot of
2	blood; is it?
3	A. Inside the head, it's still a lot of blood, but it's
4	relatively less blood than the overall size of the collection,
5	yes.
6	Q. And that blood that may have existed at that point -
7	and he never, by the way, indicated in his report that the
8	fluid was in any way bloody; did he?
9	A. Right. He was a typical radiologist who went he'
10	looking at densities. That's how he reported it.
11	Q. So, he's typically insufficient in his reporting?
12	A. No, not insufficient.
13	Q. You need a neurosurgeon like you to fill it in for
14	him. Is that what you are telling me?
15	A. No. Well, when he referred to subdural, I think he
16	may have been referring to a subdural hematoma.
17	Q. He may have?
18	A. Most of the time in discussing when people say
19	subdurals, most of the time we are talking about subdural
20	hematomas. That's conjecture. I don't know what he was
21	thinking.
22	Q. So, now we have - and I appreciate this - conjecture
23	that he may have been, may have been referring to subdural
24	hematoma; correct? Right?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. You just told us.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. He might not have been; right?
4	A. He described an extraaxial fluid collection. That's
5	how he described it.
6	Q. And it's conjecture that he's referring to a subdural
7	hematoma; right?
8 .	A. Right. And then he referred to it as likely
9	subdural. I'm not sure likely is the term.
LO	Q. He said probably subdural.
11	A. Probably subdural.
12	Q. In any event, you are speculating - and we are forced
13	to speculate - as to whether he meant a subdural hematoma;
L 4	right?
L5	A. Correct. He might have been referring to the space
16	rather than subdural space versus or subarachnoid space.
L7	Q. And one way to find out would be, of course, if the
L8	District Attorney's Office or you, for that matter, were to
L9	call Dr. Hoover and say to Dr. Hoover, "By the way, Dr. Hoover,
20	what do you mean by this?" That's an easy way to find out;
21	right?
22	MS. EGAN: Objection.
23	THE COURT: What basis?
24	MS. EGAN: Relevance and basis of knowledge.
25	THE COURT: Overruled.

1	Q. Correct?
2	A. An easier way would be to talk to the pathologist.
3	Q. Oh, you think the pathologist would be
4	A. Not
5	Q. Doctor, please. Are you telling me that you think it
6	would be better to talk to the pathologist about what the
7	radiologist thinks than talking to the radiologist himself?
8	Are you telling me that?
9	A. Today, yes.
10 ·	Q. All right. Now, let me go back, if I can. We know
11	from your testimony I think we know. If I'm wrong, Doctor,
12	you correct me. I'm sure you know you can. You have testified
13	before; correct?
14	A. I have, yes.
15	Q. Did you ever testify in behalf of an accused in a
16	criminal matter?
17	A. Yes, I have.
18	Q. How long ago?
19	A. In a criminal trial?
20	Q. Yes.
21	A. I couldn't even tell you. I did recently participate
22	in a 440 hearing.
23	Q. Okay. All right. Let me go back. Now, 440 hearing
24	is a person who is attempting to have his conviction
25	overturned; right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Let me go back, if I can, though. Let me walk through this, if you don't mind. You were nice enough to explain and go through the images with us. And the ventricles are those two little horns that come out in your head?
- A. They are within the brain substance. They look like -- yeah. I guess you could describe them as little horns.
- Q. I don't know if that's the right way to describe it.

 But in any event --
- A. That's part of the ventricular system we were looking at.
- Q. Now, these ventricles which are on the images -- when was brought to Albany Med, do you know when the CAT scan was performed on the 22nd, what time?
- A. I do not recall that, no. I believe it was soon after -- I believe he was brought directly -- bypassing the Emergency Department, brought directly to the Intensive Care Unit. I would imagine there was some amount of time and then he went down for a scan.
 - Q. And there's only one CAT performed; correct?
 - A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. Okay. And would I be correct -- and I know you have issued a report in this case, which we have. In your report, which is dated 9/22, that is your interpretation of the CAT scan?

- A. So, I made no assumptions about it.
- Q. Now, having said that, having -- and you know as a neurosurgeon that that -- your notes and any report you may have issued would necessarily go in the chart and would be viewed by people subsequently, and they would be important in terms of your findings; correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You don't start -- on a matter of this seriousness, you don't --
- A. I mean it's any -- I try to be accurate and truthful when I write notes no matter what the case.
 - Q. And you are not going to guess about something?
- A. In other words, I don't change my notes because I think maybe this will come to trial.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I'm not sure that's what you were suggesting, but no. I understand the implications of what I'm doing and I try to be honest and forthright when I write my notes.
- Q. And that's what I'm asking. And I'm not suggesting that. You would write down what you believe to be the case; correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And later, just because there's a criminal trial or you talk to District Attorneys, whatever, if you held a view, you are not going to necessarily change it or alter it to fit a

1	theory of the prosecution; correct? Would you agree with that?
2	A. Oh, absolutely.
3	Q. Now, there may be facts that you learn later which
4	may
5	A. Right.
6	Q. Which you might with any expert. In other words, you
7	could come to an opinion, talk to an expert, look at a CAT scan
8	or an MRI - I'm not going to call you by your first name - but
9	they might say, "Well," and you say, "Okay, maybe"?
10 .	A. Yes, yes.
11	Q. Now, you talked about the aging of the subdural;
12	right? And there was, what, one subdural hematoma here, if you
13	can answer that? Can you answer that yes or no?
14	A. Well, I believe there were bilateral subdural
15	hematomas. The subdural space actually is one space.
16	Q. All right.
17	A. But what I mean by bilateral, they were over both
18	hemispheres.
19	Q. Well, Dr. Hoover does not note any density on the
20	left side. He reading his report, he's not suggesting
21 ·	there's any blood on the left side; is he?
22	A. No. What he's saying is that the fluid collection
23	could be, on the left side, either subarachnoid or subdural.
24	Q. All right.
25	A. But he on either side. He never uses the term

blood or hematoma. He uses collection.

- Q. Fair enough. But the collection, really, that he's talking about, the density occurs on the right side; correct?
- A. There's fluid on both sides that have density different from cerebrospinal fluid. So, even if it's all subarachnoid on the left, it's not normal cerebrospinal fluid. It's not normal fluid. It's abnormal. The question is whether it's in the subdural space, the subarachnoid space, equally divided. He doesn't -- he's hedging his bets on that one.
- Q. And, so, when you talk about the brain, it's like a container, nothing separating it. So, there's some fluid washing around and mixing in the brain; correct? So, there's a little more -- I know that's kind of basic, but what I'm getting at is this: ______ has a subdural hematoma, and there's evidence, according to your testimony, more dense, more fluid on the right, more blood on the right than on the left; correct?
 - A. I would interpret it that way, yes.
- Q. All right. Now, let's assume I take a four-month-old baby all right and I take a four-month-old baby and I lift him I'm six feet tall; well, 5-11 and three-quarters and I take that baby and I throw that baby on the floor, hits his head. Good chance that baby is going to get a subdural hematoma; right?
 - A. It certainly is a possibility, yes. That kind of a

1 trauma can cause a subdural hematoma. 2 Now, if I go less than 17 inches and do that, the Q. 3 very good likelihood is that it's not going to cause a subdural 4 hematoma; right? 5 Α. What exactly are you doing? 6 Q. I'm dropping the baby on the floor less than --7 Α. Just dropping? 8 Q. Dropping. 9 Α. Not --10 Q. Not --11 Α. Not slamming. 12 Q. And the baby falls. 13 Α. That would be unlikely. 14 Now, let me talk about throwing it from above. Ο. 15 you have used the word in the past that, in your opinion, that 16 this baby suffered a serious application of force; correct? 17 Α. I don't recall the terms. 18 Ο. Do you want me to read it to you? 19 Α. I think you just did. I would not dispute that's 2.0 what I said. 21 Q. So, we are talking about a serious application of 22 force. We are talking about an application of force that is 23 going to cause a subdural hematoma; right? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. Now, if you get a concussion -- since you have used

1	the example of older people. Now we know there are all kinds
2	of lawsuits by the NFL and all those people. And you get a
3	professional boxer, for example, and he gets hit and gets
4	knocked out. He has a concussion; right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Or you get some of these football players, which are
7 .	now worried about getting hit in the head and walking around
8	half cockeyed, they have had a concussion; right?
9	A. Meaning they are not unconscious but they have been
10	hit in the head?
11	Q. Yeah. And they don't know what day of the week it
12	is?
13	A. Yes. That would be a concussion, yes.
14	Q. Right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And that's an alteration of mental consciousness;
17	isn't it?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And what happens is that brain has suffered a severe
22	insult; right?
23	A. It's well, it depends on what you mean by severe,
24	but enough to produce a concussion, yes.
25	Q. And when you played football at Yale you are very

familiar with concussions; right?

- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Now, it's no different for a child who is four months or a gentleman, man or woman who is 80 years. You take that kind of force, and you deliver that to that person enough to cause a severe application, a serious application of force using your terminology, you are going to see some physical manifestations of that; aren't you?
- A. It depends on what you mean by physical manifestations. You mean on the skin?
- Q. No, either on the skin or the child may have -- the person will have -- either the eyes will open up; they will have twitching. You take that kind of force in a person, isn't it true that that person, with a reasonable degree of medical certainty, is going to display some outward signs of having suffered a severe head injury? Isn't that true?
- A. You mean immediately or for some period of time?

 Yeah. I would agree, yes.
 - Q. You would agree?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, tell me something. Do you have children?
 - A. Grown children. They are still my children.
- Q. Fair enough. What I was getting at is this: I don't know. When you were younger, you and your wife -- but in your practice, generally speaking, when people bring kids into the

hospital, it's the mom who generally does it, right, or no?

- A. No. I would say certainly more than 50 percent of the time but --
- Q. And would you agree with me that moms -- and I'm using moms. It could be any parent. But mothers, they are very good historians. They are not always perfect but --
 - A. Well, yes.
 - Q. I understand there's qualifications.
 - A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. Let me do another one.
- A. The other way is when the mother tells me there's something wrong, I believe the mother.
- Q. And when you take -- in your position as a pediatric neurosurgeon -- I understand all the x-rays and CAT scans and everything else. But even with all the new advances in medicine, history, history is still a critical component of any clinical picture; isn't it?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Doctors can say all they want. Doctors who don't care about patients I know you do but who don't want to deal with patients -- but you want to know what is going on with a patient, especially a newborn, a four-month-old; talk to mom to find out from mom what's been going on with him; "How has he been" and all that. Correct?
 - A. If you have the opportunity, yes.

- Q. If you have the opportunity. Now, do you know, as you sit here today, whether mom ever reported to anyone to this very moment that her son, ______, ever displayed an altered state of consciousness before the weekend of September 21st?

 Do you know that?
 - A. I believe I do know that.
 - Q. The answer is she never did; correct?
 - A. No, she did.
 - Q. She did?
 - A. I don't know who she told or exactly what she told.
- Q. Before that weekend, it is your understanding that -- by the way, the mother has testified in this case?
- A. Yes. I have no idea what she testified to. So, I quess it's vague enough in my mind that I don't know for sure.
- Q. If I were to tell you that the mother, prior to that weekend, has never reported -- not to doctors, not to nurses, not to us, not to this jury today or this week; that she has testified that prior to the weekend of the 21st, her son never displayed any altered state of consciousness. Would that have an effect upon your analysis; yes or no?
- A. It would have an effect on my analysis, yes. I'm not going to put my fingers in my ear and not hear it. I would listen.
- Q. Okay. Now, I want to ask you something else, if I can. I want to go back to this subdural hematoma. I think you

told us -- and you know that there's -- that I disagree with your analysis. But nonetheless, I'm going to accept your analysis for the moment; that suffered a subdural hematoma at some point in time in his life. All right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. That subdural hematoma that you have aged, and you have seen it on the CAT scan, was more than three weeks old, wasn't it, because it was chronic?
 - A. No. I don't agree with that.
 - Q. Well, you don't know how old it was; correct?
- A. Yes. What I did say, I believe today, is that that bright fluid is bright enough. It's brighter than the density of the brain. So, that is very suggestive to me that that's acute blood. So, I would -- and I think I said that in my testimony earlier; that it was probably a day or two. I could time that to a day or two.
- Q. Did this subdural -- was that enclosed by a neovascular membrane? It was; wasn't it?
 - A. To my knowledge, no.
 - Q. It was not?
 - A. No, it was not.
- Q. Now, you have been asked this opinion and given this opinion that, aging the subdural, that you are not able to age it. Do you agree or disagree with that?
 - A. Well, I just qualified it. I can't give, you know,

24

25

an hour or a day, but my opinion is that there was enough fresh blood in that -- or the scan showed hyperdense - by that, I mean denser than the brain - fluid that is consistent with fresh blood, and that is probably within a day or two.

- Q. Doctor, I'm going to ask you if you recall being asked this question and giving this answer. All right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. At a prior time. "Doctor, based upon your experience and training, are you able to determine the age of those collections of bloody fluids?

"Answer: In circumstances where there is a bleed, for example, into the brain itself, or even into the subdural space, other than in infants or, I will say, when there is an acute hemorrhage into the subdural space, without mixing with cerebrospinal fluid, the blood that is up to a week old will appear bright, brighter than the brain. So, it will appear white. Over time, that blood undergoes -- it breaks down and becomes more and more borderline over time. From about a week to three weeks, the density of that will be almost like brain It will be gray. So, it will go from bright white to tissue. gray; and after that period of time, it will appear dark. you could say, if you knew that there was no mixture of fluids in there, that this was chronic. However, when you -- and in particular, the instance of infant subdural hematomas, there's so much cerebrospinal fluid that mixes with the blood, unless

1	you see bright white - in which case, it has to be less than a
2	week old - you can't age it. This could have happened an hour
3	before. It could have happened weeks before."
4	Do you recall being asked those questions and giving
5	those answers?
6	A. I don't recall it, but I did review my testimony and
7	that's my testimony. The only thing different about that
8	Q. Before we get to what's different, I want to ask you
9	what you said.
10	MS. EGAN: Judge, objection. Can we let the
11	witness answer the question?
12	MR. COFFEY: I haven't asked a question.
13	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
14	Q. Your last testimony in this case was that this
15	subdural could have been weeks before; right?
16	A. No. That's not what I said. You misinterpreted what
17	I said.
18	Q. Well, you said
19	A. I said there was hyperdense blood. It could have
20	been a week old. The difference between what I said then and
21	today is the number of days, couple of days difference.
22	Q. You don't think I have misread your testimony from
23	before; do you?
24	A. That's how I interpreted what I said.
25	Q. Well, I interpreted it as saying this could have been

three weeks before; it could have happened weeks before, actually?

- A. No. I said under certain circumstance. If it were dark, it could have been three weeks before, is what I said.
- Q. Now, the -- on the question of -- let me ask you something about that. Assuming -- you said there was some fresh blood. Now, I want to ask you about this. Assuming there was fresh blood, in your opinion, there certainly was blood that was not fresh; correct?
 - A. I don't know that.
 - Q. You don't know that?
- A. No. It could be because it was a mixture of CSF. It could have been all fresh blood mixed with CSF, or there could have been a chronic component, too. I don't know. You can't tell that.
 - Q. Okay. So, if you did, you would be guessing?
 - A. I would be guessing.
- Q. Okay. Now, having said that, with regard to -- let me talk about sepsis for the moment. With regard to the process that occurs when bacteremia gets in the bloodstream, starts to spread throughout the bloodstream and that process that occurs, you are not qualified to testify to that. I'm not saying you are not a good doctor. You understand that? But that is not within your realm of expertise; is it?
 - A. It is not, no.

1	Q. So, if you were to take the issue of a bacteremia
2	getting in the system, going through the bloodstream,
3	developing sepsis and septic shock, whether septic shock then
4	caused the coagulopathy, you are not prepared in your expertise
5 -	to say that; correct? Do you understand my question?
6	A. I'm not a hundred percent. Are you asking me about
7	the coagulopathy, or are you just saying in general? I'm not
8 .	an expert in septic shock.
9	Q. Right. And whether septic shock can cause a
10	coagulopathy, which is excessive bleeding; correct?
11	A. I have testified that I know that it can.
12	Q. Right. We are on the same page there. But my point
13	is that whether septic shock can cause coagulopathy, you can't
14	agree or disagree with that; correct?
15	A. No. I agree that it can cause
16	Q. Did this boy have coagulopathy?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Clinically or radiologically?
19	MS. EGAN: Objection, Judge. I think the Doctor
20	has already stated this isn't within his field of
21	expertise.
22.	THE COURT: Overruled.
23	A. I think you said radiologically. You didn't mean
24	that. You meant laboratory.
25	Q. Yes.

- A. The laboratory findings were consistent with a coagulopathy. Clinically, I don't believe he had a coagulopathy. He didn't have -- he wasn't bleeding from his IV puncture sites. He didn't have bruises over his body that you see typically with DIC.
 - O. But he did have DIC as it turns out?
- A. Well, he had -- yes. He had low coagulation factors consistent with disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, which we know can happen in severe head injuries, and it can happen in other things, including septic shock.
- Q. Okay. And when a coagulopathy happens, a person gets that, he's going to be bleeding all throughout his body, correct; his brain, his heart, everywhere. Right? Or if you don't know, tell me.
- A. I can tell you in my experience what I have seen. I have seen, for example, in multiple trauma cases, people develop DIC. They can have bleeding into the skin, into the abdomen, into the chest, all places. I have not seen this kind of intracranial bleeding related to -- ever related to DIC, never seen.
- Q. You are not an expert in that. But you agree you are not an expert; correct?
- A. I agree, but I have taken care of patients with head injuries and septic shock, and I have never seen subdural hematomas like this occur in septic shock personally. I don't

_	know if it is ever been reported, but I have not been it.
2	Q. Well, do you think that a person who is an expert in
3	the field of pediatric infectious disease may know more than
4	you in this area?
5	A. I have no idea.
6	MS. EGAN: Objection.
7	THE COURT: Overruled.
8	Q. You have no idea?
9	A. Depending on their experience.
10	Q. Well, would you agree that a person may know more
11	than you in this area?
12	A. Yes, absolutely.
13	Q. Okay. So, you can find a radiologist, for example,
14	or a neurologist who says, "In my experience, I have never seen
15	something," and you as a neurosurgeon
16	A. I have seen it.
17	Q. Yes. You would say, as a neurosurgeon, he may not
18	have, but I'm a neurosurgeon; correct?
19	A. Yes, absolutely.
20	Q. Now, if you know and if you don't, you can tell me
21	if it's a guess. If you had trauma - and you say he had
22	trauma, this boy had trauma - you have indicated there could be
23	a delayed effect; correct?
24 .	A. Delayed?
25	Q. In other words, he has I apologize. He has trauma

1	Q. Well, he would also cry and be irritable and, also,
2	his eyes would begin, possibly, to glaze over and he would show
3	some shaking; wouldn't he?
4	A. No.
5	Q. He wouldn't?
6	A. Shaking means a seizure and many children who have
7	severe injuries don't have seizures.
8	Q. Let's talk about in terms of your accuracy here,
9	Doctor. And you try to be accurate. You testified here at
10	length. You testified another time at length, and you read
11	your testimony; correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. So, let's not fill in the blanks when I ask you this
14	question. You have never said, have you, that this boy had an
15	bruising on his scalp; have you?
16	A. I have never said that.
17	Q. And
18	A. Well
19	Q. Oh, I'm sorry. I guess you may have said it.
20	A. You mean on the outside of his scalp?
21	Q. I don't care where it is. I don't care where it is.
22	Doctor, let's use the word bruising.
23	A. No. I'm not disputing the bruising. He showed no
24	external signs of trauma. That is true. I have said that.
25	O. And you have never testified, ever, that this baby

1 had a subgaleal hemorrhage; have you? 2 I don't know. Α. 3 0. You don't what? I don't know whether I testified to that or not. 4 Α. 5 Q. Come on, Doctor. You don't know whether you have? 6 Α. No. I don't remember. 7 Q. Really? 8 Α. Because I know it now, but I'm not sure when --9 Q. You know it now? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Ο. Well, let me look at your -- Doctor, you testified 12 you weren't going to testify on behalf, solely, of the People. 13 I'm going to look now at your notes. And you tell this jury 14 whether you say you saw a subgaleal hemorrhage in your notes, 15 Doctor? 16 Α. No. I did not see it. 17 Ο. And on your direct examination with Ms. Egan, did you 18 ever utter those words, subgaleal hemorrhage? 19 Α. I will take your word for it that I never did. 20 Ο. Don't take my word for it. You have been in the 21 courtroom. 22 Α. I don't remember that specific statement. 23 don't recall. There was no external --24 Q. Doctor, you really don't remember as you sit here? 25 I don't remember. I would not dispute what you are

saying, but I don't actually remember. 1 If you saw a subgaleal hemorrhage, you would have 2 3 noted it; wouldn't you? If I saw it when I examined him, I would have noted 4 Α. 5 it. He didn't have a subgaleal hemorrhage; did he? 6 Ο. 7 Α. I think he did ultimately. On autopsy, he had a 8 subgaleal hemorrhage, but I did not know that at the time; and 9 when I testified, I don't know what I knew about the autopsy. 10 I don't recall. MR. COFFEY: May I take a minute? 11 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MS. BOOK: When Mr. Coffey is finished, may we approach off the record one moment? 14 15 THE COURT: Yes. Attorneys approach, please, 16 off the record. 17 (Discussion off the record.) 18 Doctor, why is there a specialty of neuroradiology? Q. 19 Α. Well, two reasons. One is neuroradiology --20 initially, when it was formed, it was formed because there were 21 specialized techniques, CT scan, initially, that required new 22 training to understand it and be able to interpret it. Plus, I 23 think probably more importantly, there were a lot of procedures 24 that were done, for example, angiography, and the techniques of 25 angiography changed significantly more or less coinciding with

the time of the CT scan also that led -- those kind of studies previously had been done by neurosurgeons who really didn't want to do them any more. They wanted to be in the operating room taking out brain tumors and such. And, so, we sort of passed that along to the radiologist, and they required special training to learn how to do those.

- Q. Special training?
- A. Special training to do the angiography and other procedures and also, eventually, interpreting the scans.
 - Q. Do you ever refer cases to neuropathologists?
- A. Um, I mean, I guess, yes. You know, when we do -when we are in surgery and we remove tissue, that tissue goes
 to the Department of Pathology; and if it's appropriate, the
 neuropathologist would review both that material and offer an
 opinion about it. So, in that sense, yes.
- Q. Doctor, I'm going to go back to your sworn testimony and ask you if you recall being asked this question and giving this answer: "Question:" --

MS. EGAN: Object. Reading the question and the answer is improper.

THE COURT: That objection is overruled.

Q. "Question: In this report that was read and reviewed by Eric Hoover, M.D., his impression indicates: 'Large bilateral extraaxial fluid collections, probably subdural on the right.' Correct?

1	"Answer: Yes.
2	"Question: And in fact, his impressions and findings
3	do not make any reference to the words hemorrhage, hematoma or
4	blood; correct, Doctor?
5	"Answer: Yes. Well, I would like to review that
6	again, if you don't mind.
7	"Question: Sure.
8	"Answer: Yes. He refers to the subdural as a fluid
9	collection.
10	"Question: And that collection could have been there
11	for weeks, Doctor?
12	"Answer: Possibly.
13	"Question: Possibly months, too; correct, Doctor?
14	"Possibly months, but I would not expect to see the
15	density in the right side after months."
16	MS. EGAN: Objection, Judge. I don't believe
17	this is proper impeachment.
18	THE COURT: Why?
19	MS. EGAN: To read multiple questions and
20	multiple answers in a row.
21	THE COURT: That's precisely how you do it.
22	That objection is overruled.
23	Q. So, I'm going to go back to this. These are your
24	words, Doctor. And if you want to look at that, you tell me.
25	A. Go ahead.

Ο. This was possibly months, but I would not 1 "Answer: 2 expect to see the density in the right side after months unless 3 there were fresh hemorrhage. "Question: Certainly, Doctor, you cannot rule out 4 5 the possibility that blood fluid collection had been there for 6 months; correct? "It's possible that some of it was there for months. 7 8 "Question: And in terms of the subdural hematoma that you talked about here today, certainly, Doctor, you can't 9 10 tell this jury -- you can't tell how long this had been there; 11 correct? 12 Sitting here today and looking at those "Answer: 13 scans, I cannot age the subdural." 14 Do you want to take a look at this and see if that's 15 what you said before? 16 Α. No. It's not inconsistent with what I have said 17 today, frankly. 18 Well, I thought you told us today you could age it; 19 it was within a couple days? 20 Α. No. I said the bright signal in that subdural was a 21 few days; let's say a week. 22 Q. So, you could age it? 23 Α. That component. But could there have been a chronic 24 component there for months before the acute blood entered the 25 subdural space? Yes.

1	Q. That's your answer today?
2	A. That's pretty much what I said then, too.
3	Q. So, when you say "I cannot age the subdural," that's
4	what you meant?
5	A. In another question, I did age the subdural. You
6	told me I aged it a week.
7	Q. Well, I will tell you what. On one answer, you said
8	you can age it; in one answer, you say you can't age it.
9	A. It depends on the interpretation. The entire amount
10	of subdural fluid, some of it may have been old, but some of i
11	was definitely fresh blood.
12	MR. COFFEY: That's all I have, Judge.
13	THE COURT: Ms. Egan, any redirect?
14	MS. EGAN: Yes, Judge, if I may just have a
15	minute.
16	THE COURT: Sure. Take your time.
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. EGAN:
19	Q. Doctor, Mr. Coffey just asked you several questions
20	about the age of the subdural hematomas in's head.
21	A. Right.
22	Q. Would you please explain your opinion on the age of
23	the subdurals?
24	A. Okay. Traditionally, if you look at the density of
25	blood on CT scans, it undergoes a change over time. This is

sort of vaguely defined as acute, subacute and chronic. Acute blood on a CT scan appears brighter or whiter than the average density of the brainy substance. We relate densities to the brain, what the brain looks like. If the brain looks like gray -- and acute blood is brighter. It looks whiter than that gray brain. So, that's acute.

Subacute, the density of the blood is more or less -looks the same gray as the brain, and then chronic is when it
looks dark. It's darker than brain. Okay? So, that's the
typical way we used to characterize that.

But what we know now is that in some cases, particularly in children, acute blood can also mix with cerebrospinal fluid, and that dilutes the density of it. So, an acute subdural that occurred one hour before a scan can look just like 's scan. So, it makes it more difficult. The traditional acute, subacute, chronic designation doesn't hold up in every case. It's much more complicated than that. What determines -- what is a better determinate of age sometimes, of a subdural, is an MRI; not always. The signal changes you see with blood over time in an MRI is very, very, very complicated and there are lots of exceptions. So, even then, subdurals are very difficult to age, but the best indicator is --

MR. COFFEY: I object. This is nonresponsive.

THE COURT: Overruled.

A. What the best indicator is is the pathology. And by

1	graphs; and when you bring your child to the pediatrician for
2	the regular visits, he typically will measure the head
3	circumference and he will plot it on a graph. When you see
4	subdural hematomas that are slowly developing, they may not
5	cause symptoms. The first sign of a subdural might be a child
6	whose head circumference which had been at the 25th percentile
7	now suddenly is at the 98th percentile. Something happened
8	that caused that very rapid change in the head size. And, so,
9	a pediatrician, if he saw that, even though the child may
10	appear completely normal or maybe no history of anything, that
11	pediatrician would or should order some tests, either an
12	ultrasound
13	MR. COFFEY: I object to this, Your Honor. It's
14	totally nonresponsive.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	A. Well
17	THE COURT: There's no question.
18	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
19	Q. Did you have an opportunity to review any records
20	pertaining to shead growth?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Was there anything indicative of intracranial
23	abnormality in those records?
24	A. Yes and no. The initial I believe at
25	approximately two months of age, he had a head circumference

1	measurement done by the pediatrician that placed him, I
2	believe, just under the 25th percentile, something in that
3	range; in other words, within normal range. It wasn't big.
4	When he came into the hospital, Albany Medical Center, you
5	know, during the admission that he died, his head circumference
6	was way above the 98th percentile. So, some time between
7	the inference is that some time between two months and when he
8	came into Albany Med this event happened and progressed from
9	that point.
10	Q. Can the age of a subdural hematoma be determined more
11	accurately upon autopsy?
12	A. I would say it's my understanding that, yes, it can.
13	Q. Doctor, would you defer to an infectious disease
14	doctor's opinion of whether or not a child sustained an
15	inflicted brain injury?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Was an MRI ever performed on ?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Do you know why that was?
20	A. Yes, two reasons.
21	MR. COFFEY: I object as irrelevant. It wasn't.
22	MS. EGAN: Judge, this was covered on
23	cross-examination.
24	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
25	A. There were two reasons. One is he was basically

1	brain-dead. So, it served no purpose in terms of treating
2	. And secondly, he was very unstable, meaning his blood
3	pressure was very unstable, and an MRI requires moving a
4	patient and all - he was on a ventilator - all the equipment
5	down to the MRI facility. MR, as you may or may not know, is
6	an extremely powerful magnet, so there couldn't be any metal.
7	It's very complicated; plus the test itself takes some time.
8	So, he wasn't in a condition to have an MRI, and there was no
9	useful purpose in it in terms of treating Michael or
10	, I'm sorry. So, it was not done.
11	Q. Thank you, Doctor. May I ask what exhibit is up
12	there at the witness stand with you?
13	A. This is my note. This is Exhibit 22.
14	MS. EGAN: Mr. Coffey, do you have the
15	radiologist report?
16	MR. COFFEY: Yes.
17	Q. Do you know what time the CAT scan was performed on
18	?
19	A. I don't recall.
20	Q. Would the date that the radiologist printed give you
21	an idea?
22	A. It would be on the, actually, on the scan, would be
23	the most accurate time.
24	MS. EGAN: If I could have a moment, Judge. I'm
25	just going to turn the scan back on. Judge, may I ask the

1	witness to step off the witness stand?
2	THE COURT: Sure.
3	Q. Dr. Waldman, could you take a look at that image from
4	the CAT scan CD in evidence? And can you tell me if you can
5	determine the date when the CAT scan was performed?
6	A. 1220:48.
7	Q. What date?
8	A. 9/21. So, 20 to one in the afternoon.
9	Q. Thank you, Doctor. Dr. Hoover's report refers to
10	large bilateral extraaxial fluid collections, probably
11	subdural. Is this language commonly used to refer to hematomas
12	among neurosurgeons and neuroradiologists?
13	A. I would say more neuroradiologists than
14	neurosurgeons.
15	Q. Have you heard of
16	A. I mean, I use
17	MR. COFFEY: I object. He keeps doing this. He
18	wasn't asked a question.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm continuing the
20	MR. COFFEY: Excuse me, Doctor.
21	THE COURT: I understand the objection. Doctor,
22	had you finished your answer?
23	THE WITNESS: I had not.
24	THE COURT: You may continue.
25	A. I use fluid collections in other circumstances. I

1	didn't use them in this circumstance, because I believe these
2	to be subdural hematomas.
3	Q. Have you heard neuroradiologists use these type of
4	terms referring to hematomas or bloody fluid collections?
5	MR. COFFEY: Object as leading.
6	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	Q. Now, did you interpret 's CAT scan yourself?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And what is your opinion as to the fluid collections?
10	MR. COFFEY: Judge, he testified to this at
11	length on direct examination. This is just a
12	recapitulation of his direct.
13	THE COURT: Haven't we covered this?
14	MS. EGAN: Judge, I just wanted to clarify it.
15	It was covered on cross at length.
16	MR. COFFEY: I had to ask questions from the
17	direct.
18	THE COURT: The purpose of redirect is to
19	clarify any areas you believe require clarification. It's
20 -	not just to go through direct all over again and get the
21	opinions all over again. I'm going to sustain the
22	objection.
23	MS. EGAN: Certainly, Judge.
24	Q. Now, you were asked questions about serious
25	application of force. Does force have a greater effect on an

infant's brain than on an adult's brain?

- A. Well, there are definitely differences between the anatomy and the physiology of an infant's brain and an older person's brain or an adult brain. And, so, the response to trauma also can differ. So, the answer is -- I think the answer is yes. There are differences.
- Q. Can an infant sustain a greater level of trauma from the same application of force as an adult?
- A. Well, it's known that infants are more susceptible to diffuse -- an injury called diffuse axonal injury. There are probably differences, but it's not an extremely well studied area to give precise answers, but there are known differences in the patterns of injuries sometimes, and an infant also has a larger head relative to body mass and weaker neck muscles, so that it makes acceleration-deceleration kinds of injuries more important in young children compared to an older child or adult. So, yes. There are differences. In terms of the actual forces, I think that that is not exactly, no.
- Q. Can an infant sustain serious brain injury without external signs of injury?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can an infant sustain a subdural hematoma without external signs of injury?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, how would an altered state of consciousness

	manifest itself in an infant; what sighs would the infant
2	show?
3	A. The infant might be irritable, possibly inconsolable,
4	crying, could be sleepy and, you know, progressing to
5	unresponsive.
6	Q. And what's
7	A. Could feed poorly, vomit.
8	Q. Would a layperson always be able to recognize these
9	symptoms as an altered state of consciousness?
10	MR. COFFEY: I object to this.
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	Q. Is it possible for those signs to resolve quickly?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Now, you were asked about bleeding and coagulopathy.
15	Was bleeding everywhere?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Was bleeding everywhere in his brain?
18	A. No.
19	Q. You were also asked about subgaleal hemorrhage. What
20	is a subgaleal hemorrhage?
21	A. The scalp has layers. The galea is a fibrous layer
22	on the inside of the scalp. And sometimes with injuries, you
23	know, blunt force trauma of some nature or another, you can get
24	tearing of some blood vessels that leads to a collection of
25	blood in the space between the galea and the skull. Sometimes

1	medical school, is when Albany Med got their first MR. I could
2	be off by a year but, definitely, there was no neuroradiologist
3	when I was doing my first year of neurosurgery. And so,
4	technically, once I finished my residency, there has always
5	been a specialty, neuroradiology specialty.
6	Q. Do neuroradiologists receive training above and
7	beyond the training a neurosurgeon receives?
8	A. It's not above or beyond. It's different. You know,
9	they focus on imaging, reading images, doing the procedures
10	they do, angiography. There's some overlap. For example
11	MR. COFFEY: I object to that. We are not
12	asking about overlap. He was asked about above and
13	beyond. It's different.
14	THE COURT: I think the witness has answered the
15	question.
16	MS. EGAN: If I could just have one minute,
17	Judge? I have nothing further.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Coffey, any recross?
19	MR. COFFEY: Thank you.
20	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. COFFEY:
22	Q. Doctor, the trauma you are talking about, even if
23	there is trauma, can be accidental could be accidental;
24	couldn't it?
25	A. I can't tell from looking at scans or the patients

what the intent of an injury was. That is correct. I wasn't there to witness it.

- Q. Doctor, let me -- why don't you answer the question specifically if you can? It can be accidental. Is that correct, incorrect, or you are not prepared to answer it?

 There's three choices. Which one would you choose?
 - A. I would choose it could be accidental.

MS. EGAN: Objection. The witness has already answered the question, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Coffey, ask the question. The witness can answer as he sees fit. If you feel it's unresponsive, you can make an application, but the answer will stand.

- A. I can be responsive. The answer would be accidental.
- Q. Now, did you tell us on your redirect examination that a pathologist would be able to date the age of a subdural hematoma better than you?
 - A. Better than from a CT scan, yes.
- Q. And you indicated that a baby that suffered trauma, you would expect him to have a diffuse axonal injury; correct?
- A. No. I didn't say I expected they would have. I said it's possible. I didn't even say that. I just threw it out there as a different -- a difference between an adult brain and a child's brain and the kinds of injuries that they can have.
 - Q. Rather than just throw things out, I assume you are

1	test	ifying as you are right, the purpose; correct?
2		A. I was trying to answer the question that was asked of
3	me.	
4		Q. did not have a diffuse axonal injury; did he?
5		A. I don't think we know the answer to that. First of
6	all,	it's a pathological
7		Q. The answer is you don't know?
8		MS. EGAN: Objection. Can he allow the witness
9		to answer the question?
10		MR. COFFEY: He answers and then he
11		THE COURT: Just ask the question and allow the
12		witness to answer the question before you ask the next
13		question.
14		Q. Do you know if had a diffuse axonal injury?
15		A. No, I don't.
16		MR. COFFEY: Okay. That's it.
17		THE COURT: Ms. Egan, any redirect?
18		MS. EGAN: No, Your Honor.
19		THE COURT: Doctor, you may step down. Thank
20		you. All right. Members of the jury, we are going to
21		break for the day at this point in time. I will ask that
22		you please report back here tomorrow at 9:30. We will
23		attempt to get started at 9:30 tomorrow.
24		During the course of this break, please do not
25		discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone else. Do